

All communications respecting this application should give the serial number, date of filing and name of the applicant.



**U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Application Number	Filing Date	First Named Applicant	Attorney Docket Number
08/648,270	05/15/96	Tor	A-63463-1

Examiner
L. E. Crane

Art Unit	Paper No.
1623	35

DATE MAILED: n/a

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel)

- (1) Ms. Robin M. Silva (Voice mail) (3) --
(2) Examiner L. E. Crane (4) --

Date of Interview: **04/06/01**

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy given to) ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: See attachment.

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some of all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached

Claim(s) discussed: See page 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: See page 2.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: See page 2.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would be allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 713.04) If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, the completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.
PTOL-413(amended 03/13/01)

08/649,270 -P. N. 35 COPY FOR ☒ File ☐ Applicant Continued on next page(s) -->>

Serial No. 08/648,270

2

Art Unit 1623

INTERVIEW SUMMARY(cont.)

Claims discussed: 44-49. all claims discussed.

Identification of prior art discussed: art provided by applicant (already of record) and new art submitted by declarant (made of record).

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: Applicant was advised that upon the filing of an appeal or a continuation request the amendment to claim 48 would be entered. However, applicant was also advised that the "opinion" and "belief" of a third party has been deemed to be nothing more than self serving in light of the continued absence of an enabled disclosure. Speculation by the declarant concerning what might have been possible at the date of filing does not cure the missing enabling support. Examiner noted that a CIP filing incorporating more recently developed data would probably provide some support for a portion of the claimed subject matter in light of the Tor et al. reference already of record. Applicant is reminded that a patent application is **not** a research proposal and is granted for what applicant has already done, not what applicant expects to be able to do at some time in the indefinite future (i.e. a patent is not a hunting license; Brenner v. Manson, 148 USPO 689 (S. Ct., 1966)).